

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/050,593	KING ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	David A. Lambertson	1636	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) David A. Lambertson.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Robert Jondle.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 November 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted regarding a potential Examiner's Amendment. Applicant was advised that withdrawn claims 9, 10, 16-18 and 31 needed to be cancelled. Additionally, some corrections to the language of claim 1 were discussed. The attached amendment was agreed upon for the sole purpose of furthering prosecution towards allowance, and is not an acquiescence to the position taken by the Office.